

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SHANNON RANAE MILLARD,

**Plaintiff**

V.

CARSON CITY ANIMAL SERVICES, et al.,

## Defendants

Case No.: 3:23-cv-00112-MMD-CSD

Order

Re: ECF No. 1

Plaintiff has filed a civil complaint. The Local Rules of Practice for the District of

10 Nevada provide: "Any person who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case may apply to the  
11 court for authority to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). The application must be made on the  
12 form provided by the court and must include a financial affidavit disclosing the applicant's  
13 income, assets, and liabilities." LSR 1-1.

14 Plaintiff filed an application to proceed IFP on the short form. However, the application  
15 indicates that Plaintiff has \$12,000, and does not provide sufficient detail regarding Plaintiff's  
16 expenses for the court to conclude that Plaintiff is unable to pay the filing fee.

17 Plaintiff must file a more detailed IFP application and affidavit to demonstrate an  
18 inability to pay the filing fee or pay the \$402 filing fee (\$350 filing fee and \$52 administrative  
19 fee).

20 The Clerk shall **SEND** Plaintiff a copy of the instructions and application to proceed IFP  
21 for a non-prisoner. Plaintiff has **30 days** from the date of this Order to either file a completed IFP  
22 application or pay the full \$402 filing fee. If Plaintiff files his completed IFP application, the  
23 court will screen the complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), which requires dismissal of a


1 complaint, or any portion thereof, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim upon which  
2 relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such  
3 relief.

4 If Plaintiff fails to timely file a completed IFP application or pay the filing fee, the court  
5 will recommend dismissal of this action without prejudice.

6 Finally, the court notes that it appears Plaintiff's complaint may be dismissed on  
7 screening for lack of jurisdiction because Plaintiff asserts state tort claims and both Plaintiff and  
8 Defendants all seem to reside in the State of Nevada.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 Dated: August 3, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Craig S. Denney  
United States Magistrate Judge

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23